

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 47 (2004) 1467-1472



www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhmt

Influence of a magnetic field on heat and mass transfer by natural convection from vertical surfaces in porous media considering Soret and Dufour effects

Adrian Postelnicu

Department of Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Engineering, Transilvania University of Brasov, Bdul. Eroilor No. 29, Brasov 2200, Romania

Abstract

The heat and mass transfer characteristics of natural convection about a vertical surface embedded in a saturated porous medium subjected to a magnetic field is numerically studied, by taking into account the diffusion-thermo (Dufour) and thermal-diffusion (Soret) effects. The governing partial differential equations are transformed into a set of coupled differential equations, which are solved numerically using a finite difference method. Dimensionless velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are presented graphically for various values of the magnetic number M and Lewis number Le, and for fixed values of the Dufour number D_f , Soret number S_r and buoyancy number N. Three cases are considered and presented in tables, for the local Nusselt number and local Sherwood number corresponding to Le = 1 and various values of M, N, D_f and S_r . Increasing the value of M increases the local Nusselt number and local Sherwood number.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Coupled heat and mass transfer by natural convection in a fluid-saturated porous medium has attracted considerable attention in the last years, due to many important engineering and geophysical applications. Recent books by Nield and Bejan [1] and Ingham and Pop [2,3] present a comprehensive account of the available information in the field.

Thermal diffusion, also called thermodiffusion or Soret effect [4] corresponds to species differentiation developing in an initial homogeneous mixture submitted to a thermal gradient. On the other hand, the Soret effect has been also utilised for isotope separation and in mixture between gases with very light molecular weight, such as H_2 or He, and of medium molecular weight, such as H_2 or air.

In many studies Dufour and Soret effect are neglected, on the basis that they are of a smaller order of magnitude than the effects described by Fourier's and Fick's laws. There are however, exceptions. Eckert and Drake [5] present several cases when the Dufour effect cannot be neglected. Benano-Melly et al. [6] have analyzed the problem of thermal diffusion in binary fluid mixtures, lying within a porous medium and subjected to a horizontal thermal gradient. The onset of Soret-driven convection in an infinite cell filled with a porous medium saturated by a binary fluid was studied by Sovran et al. [7]. Thermal-diffusion (Soret) and difusion-thermo (Dufour) effects have been found to appreciably influence the flow field in free convection boundary-layer over a vertical surface embedded in a porous medium [8].

There has been a considerable interest in studying the effect of a magnetic field on natural convection heat and mass transfer in porous media. In a recent paper Cheng [9] used an integral method to study the natural convection heat and mass transfer from vertical plates embedded in in electrically conducting fluid saturated porous media with constant surface temperature concentration. The application of a transverse magnetic field normal to the flow direction was shown to decrease the Nusselt number and Sherwood number.

E-mail address: adip@unitbv.ro (A. Postelnicu).

^{0017-9310/\$ -} see front matter C 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2003.09.017

Nomencl	lature
---------	--------

С	concentration
$C_{\rm p}$	specific heat at constant pressure
C_{s}^{r}	concentration susceptibility
D_{f}	Dufour number
$D_{\rm m}$	mass diffusivity
f	dimensionless stream function
H_0	magnetic field intensity
Κ	Darcy permeability
k_{T}	thermal diffusion ratio
Le	Lewis number, α_m/D_m
M	magnetic parameter
N	sustentation parameter
Ra_x	local Rayleigh number
<i>u</i> , <i>v</i>	Darcian velocities in the x and y -direction,
	respectively
Sh	Sherwood number
Т	temperature
<i>x</i> , <i>y</i>	Cartesian coordinates normal to the plate
	and along it, respectively

$\alpha_{\rm m}$	thermal diffusivity
$\beta_{\rm T}$	coefficient of thermal expansion
$\beta_{\rm C}$	coefficient of concentration expansion
ϕ	dimensionless concentration
μ	viscosity
$\mu_{ m e}$	magnetic permeability
v	kinematic viscosity
θ	dimensionless temperature
σ	electrical conductivity
ρ	density
ψ	stream function
Subscrip	ts
W	condition at wall
∞	condition at infinity
Supersci	int
i I	differentiation with respect to η

The objective of this paper is to study simultaneous heat and mass transfer by natural convection from a vertical flat plate embedded in electrically conducting fluid saturated porous medium, using Darcy–Boussinesq model, including Soret and Dufour effects. The effects of the governing parameters on the heat and mass transfer are analyzed.

2. Analysis

Consider the natural convection in a porous medium saturated with a Newtonian fluid bounded by a vertical flat plate with constant wall temperature T_w and constant wall concentration $C_{\rm w}$. The temperature and concentration of the ambient medium are T_{∞} and C_{∞} , respectively, where $T_{\rm w} > T_{\infty}$ and $C_{\rm w} > C_{\infty}$. The x-coordinate is measured along the plate from its leading edge, and the y-coordinate normal to it. Several assumptions are used throughout the present paper: (a) the fluid and the porous medium are in local thermodynamic equilibrium; (b) the flow is laminar, steady-state and twodimensional; (c) the porous medium is isotropic and homogeneous; (d) the properties of the fluid and porous medium are constants; (e) the Boussinesq approximation is valid and the boundary-layer approximation is applicable.

In-line with these assumptions, the governing equations describing the conservation of mass, momentum, energy and concentration can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$u \left(1 + \frac{K\sigma\mu_{c}^{2}H_{0}^{2}}{\mu} \right) = \frac{gK}{v} \left[\beta_{T}(T - T_{\infty}) + \beta_{C}(C - C_{\infty}) \right]$$
(2)

$$u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha_{\rm m}\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{D_{\rm m}}{C_{\rm s}}\frac{k_{\rm T}}{C_{\rm p}}\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}$$
(3)

$$u\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D_{\rm m}\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + \frac{D_{\rm m}k_{\rm T}}{T_{\rm m}}\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}$$
(4)

where $\alpha_{\rm m}$ and $D_{\rm m}$ are the thermal diffusivity and mass diffusivity, $C_{\rm p}$ and $C_{\rm s}$ are the specific heat at constant pressure and concentration susceptibility, $k_{\rm T}$ is the thermal diffusion ratio, σ , $\mu_{\rm e}$ and H_0 are electrical conductivity, magnetic permeability and magnetic field intensity, respectively. Other quantities are defined in the nomenclature.

The boundary conditions of the problem are

 $y = 0: v = 0, \quad T = T_{w}, \quad C = C_{w}$ (5a)

$$r \to \infty : u \to 0, \quad T \to T_{\infty}, \quad C \to C_{\infty}$$
 (5b)

We use the similarity variables proposed by Cheng and Mynkowycz [10] and used then by Bejan and Khair [11]

$$\begin{split} \psi &= \alpha_{\rm m} R a_x^{1/2} f(\eta), \quad \theta = (T - T_{\infty}) / (T_{\rm w} - T_{\infty}), \\ \phi &= (C - C_{\infty}) / (C_{\rm w} - C_{\infty}), \\ \xi &= \frac{y}{x} R a_x^{1/2} \end{split}$$
(6)

where the stream function ψ is defined in the usual way

$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$$
 (7)

and $Ra_x = gK\beta(T_w - T_\infty)x/(\nu\alpha_m)$ is the local Rayleigh number. The governing equations become

$$f' = -\theta - N\phi \tag{8}$$

$$\theta'' - \frac{1}{2}f\theta' + D_f\phi'' = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{1}{Le}\phi'' - \frac{1}{2}f\phi' + S_{\rm r}\theta'' = 0 \tag{10}$$

where M is the magnetic parameter, defined as

$$M = \frac{K\sigma\mu_{\rm e}^2 H_0^2}{\mu} \tag{11}$$

Le, $D_{\rm f}$ and $S_{\rm r}$ are Lewis, Dufour and Soret numbers, respectively

$$Le = \frac{\alpha_{\rm m}}{D_{\rm m}}, \quad D_{\rm f} = \frac{D_{\rm m}k_{\rm T}(C_{\rm w} - C_{\infty})}{C_{\rm s}C_{\rm p}\alpha_{\rm m}(T_{\rm w} - T_{\infty})},$$
$$S_{\rm r} = \frac{D_{\rm m}k_{\rm T}(T_{\rm w} - T_{\infty})}{C_{\rm s}C_{\rm p}\alpha_{\rm m}(C_{\rm w} - C_{\infty})}$$
(12)

whilst N is the sustentation parameter

$$N = \frac{\beta_{\rm C}(C_{\rm w} - C_{\infty})}{\beta_{\rm T}(T_{\rm w} - T_{\infty})} \tag{13}$$

Values of Nusselt and Sherwood numbers in case I							
М	Le	N	D_{f}	$S_{ m r}$	$Nu_x/Ra_x^{1/2}$	$Sh_x/Ra_x^{1/2}$	-
0	1	1.0	0.05	1.2	0.67678	0.18354	
1	1	1.0	0.05	1.2	0.47855	0.12978	
2	1	1.0	0.05	1.2	0.30266	0.08209	
0	1	1.0	0.075	0.8	0.65108	0.34150	
1	1	1.0	0.075	0.8	0.46038	0.24148	
2	1	1.0	0.075	0.8	0.29117	0.15273	
0	1	1.0	0.03	2.0	0.71444	-0.13597	
1	1	1.0	0.03	2.0	0.50519	-0.09869	
2	1	1.0	0.03	2.0	0.31951	-0.06241	
0	1	1.0	0.037	1.6	0.69686	0.02339	
1	1	1.0	0.037	1.6	0.49275	0.01654	
2	1	1.0	0.037	1.6	0.31164	0.01047	
0	1	1.0	0.6	0.1	0.42002	0.63313	
1	1	1.0	0.6	0.1	0.29700	0.44769	
2	1	1.0	0.6	0.1	0.18784	0.28315	

Table 2

Table 1

Values of Nusselt and Sherwood numbers in case II

М	Le	Ν	D_{f}	$S_{ m r}$	$Nu_x/Ra_x^{1/2}$	$Sh_x/Ra_x^{1/2}$
0	1	-0.5	0.15	0.4	-0.28512	-0.23211
1	1	-0.5	0.15	0.4	-0.20169	-0.16425
2	1	-0.5	0.15	0.4	-0.12839	-0.10526

Table 3 Values of Nusselt and Sherwood numbers in case III

М	Le	Ν	D_{f}	$S_{ m r}$	$Nu_x/Ra_x^{1/2}$	$Sh_x/Ra_x^{1/2}$
0	1	0.2	0.15	0.4	0.46331	0.38100
1	1	0.2	0.15	0.4	0.32762	0.26942
2	1	0.2	0.15	0.4	0.20723	0.17044
0	1	0.5	0.075	0.8	0.55508	0.28764
1	1	0.5	0.075	0.8	0.39250	0.20339
2	1	0.5	0.075	0.8	0.24825	0.12866
0	1	0.8	0.03	2.0	0.67028	-0.13736
1	1	0.8	0.03	2.0	0.47936	-0.09712
2	1	0.8	0.03	2.0	0.29976	-0.06142

1469

which measures the relative importance of mass and thermal diffusion in the buoyancy-driven flow. We notice that it is positive for thermally assisting flows, negative for thermally opposing flows and zero for thermal-driven flows. Primes denote differentiation with respect to η .

0 -0.5 2 ⊐ -1.0 8 10 -1.5 100 -2.0 2 4 6 8 (a) η 1.0 Le=100 0.8 10 0.6 θ ۶ 0.4 0.2 0 0 4 8 12 (b) η 1.0 0.8 Le=100 6 8 0.6 ÷e 0.4 0.2 0 L 0 2 4 6 8 (c) η

The transformed boundary conditions are

$$f(0) = 0, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \phi(0) = 1$$
 (14a)

$$f' \to 0, \quad \theta \to 0, \quad \phi \to 0, \quad \text{as } \eta \to \infty$$
 (14b)



Fig. 1. Variations of (a) velocity, (b) temperature (c) concentration across the boundary layer for N = 1, $D_{\rm f} = 0$, $S_{\rm r} = 0$ and M = 0.

Fig. 2. Variations of (a) velocity, (b) temperature (c) concentration across the boundary layer for N = 1, $D_{\rm f} = 0$, $S_{\rm r} = 0$ and M = 1.

We notice that the problem reduces to that formulated by Bejan and Khair [11] when M = 0, $D_f = 0$ and $S_r = 0$. On the other hand, for M = 0 our Eqs. (8)–(10) subjected to the boundary conditions (14) reduce to (7)–(10) of Anghel et al. [8].



Fig. 3. Variations of (a) velocity, (b) temperature (c) concentration across the boundary layer for N = 1, $D_{\rm f} = 0$, $S_{\rm r} = 0$ and M = 2.

The parameters of engineering interest for the present problem are the local Nusselt number and local Sherwood number, which are given by the expressions

$$Nu_x/Ra_x^{1/2} = -\theta'(0), \quad Sh_x/Ra_x^{1/2} = -\phi'(0)$$
 (15)

3. Results and discussion

Eqs. (8)–(10) must be solved along with the boundary conditions (14). Since analytical solutions do not exist, one has to use numerical techniques. In this paper a version of the Keller-box method adapted to solve ordinary differential equations was used [12].

The parameters involved in the present problem are M, Le, N, D_{f} and S_{r} . Three cases are considered here, according to [8]:

- Case I: Le = 1, N = 1, $(D_{\rm f}, S_{\rm r}) = ((0.05, 1.2), (0.075, 0.8), (0.03, 2.0), (0.037, 1.6), (0.6, 0.1))$
- Case II: Le = 1, N = 1, $D_f = 0.15$, $S_r = 0.4$
- Case III: Le = 1, $(N, D_f, S_r) = ((0.2, 0.15, 0.4), (0.5, 0.075, 0.8), (0.8, 0.03, 2.0)).$

In each case, the values of the magnetic parameter M were taken as 0, 1 and 2. Tables 1–3 present local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers calculated for each set of parameters. One can readily remark that, for fixed *Le*, N, $D_{\rm f}$ and $S_{\rm r}$, Nu and Sh decrease as M increases (if negative values are encountered, the previous assertion holds for absolute values).

Figs. 1–3 show the dimensionless velocity, temperature and concentration for the following values of the parameters: N = 1, $D_f = 0$, $S_r = 0$, M = (0, 1, 2) and Le = (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 100).

We remark that as *M* increases, the thickness of the hydrodynamic/thermal/concentration boundary layer increases.

References

- D.A. Nield, A. Bejan, Convection in Porous Media, second ed., Springer, New York, 1999.
- [2] D. Ingham, I. Pop (Eds.), Transport Phenomena in Porous Media I, Pergamon, Oxford, 1998.
- [3] D. Ingham, I. Pop (Eds.), Transport Phenomena in Porous Media II, Pergamon, Oxford, 2002.
- [4] C. Soret, CR Acad. Sci. Paris 91 (1880) 289.
- [5] E.R.G. Eckert, R.M. Drake, Analysis of Heat and Mass transfer, McGraw Hill, New York, 1972.
- [6] L.B. Benano-Melly, J.-P. Caltagirone, B. Faissat, F. Montel, P. Costeseque, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 44 (2001) 1285.
- [7] O. Sovran, M.C. Charrier-Mojtabi, A. Mojtabi, CR Acad. Sci. Paris 239 (2001) 287.

- [8] M. Anghel, H.S. Takhar, I. Pop, Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai, Mathematica, vol. XLV, 2000, p. 11.
- [9] C.-Y. Cheng, Int. Comm. Heat Mass Transfer 26 (1999) 933.
- [10] P. Cheng, W.J. Mynkowycz, J. Geophys. Res. 82 (1977) 2040.
- [11] A. Bejan, K.R. Khair, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 28 (1985) 900.
- [12] I. Pop, A. Postelnicu, Modern and Classical Methods in Laminar Boundary Layer Theory, "Studia" Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1999 (in Romanian).